## KENT COUNTY COUNCIL - PROPOSED RECORD OF DECISION

#### **DECISION TO BETAKEN BY:**

# Matthew Balfour, Cabinet Member for Environment & Transport

**DECISION NO:** 

16/00125

## For publication

**Key decision: YES** 

## Agreement of approach to adhoc funding for Pothole repair and maintenance

#### **Decision:**

As Cabinet Member for Environment & Transport, I agree

- 1. the approach to ad hoc funding for Pothole repair as set out in the report and
- 2. to delegate authority to the Director to identify and award such funding as it becomes available and to undertake any necessary actions to conclude the first phase undertaken in the summer of 2016

#### Governance:

The Executive Scheme of Delegation for Officers set out in Appendix 2 Part 4 of the Constitution (and the directorate schemes of sub-delegation made thereunder) provides the governance pathway for the implementation of this decision by officers as it assumes at 1.9 of the scheme that once a Member-level decision has been taken, the implementation of that decision will normally be delegated to officers, so that multiple Member decisions are not required in respect of the same matter.

In this instance, the **Director of Highways, Transportation & Waste** will be the lead officer seeking to ensure that all such steps as are necessary to implement the decision are undertaken.

#### Reason(s) for decision:

From time to time KCC, as a local Highways Authority, is able to access ad hoc funding for highways works that fall outside of the scope of our Highways Term Maintenance contract (HTMC). This decision is taken in order that the service can respond quickly to such additional and/or unanticipated funding, it is proposed that delegated authority is given to the Director of Highways, Transportation & Waste to award contracts for ad hoc highways works such as pothole campaigns, refreshing road markings etc.

#### **Cabinet Committee recommendations and other consultation:**

The comments of the Environment and Transport Cabinet Committee will be added following its consideration of the matter on 17 November 2016.

### Any alternatives considered and rejected:

Not agreeing the approach would require a decision should funding become available in 2017-18 potentially delaying the process.

Any inte Proper ( None	clared	when	the	decision	was	taken	and	any	dispensation	granted	by	the
signed	 			···			 da	 ite			•	